

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

1 7 9 5 3 0

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

11 December 1990  
15 January 1991 T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME William T. Rotroff		RANK Inv.	STAR NO. 37	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 5035 S. Kolmar		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 05 Oct. 1990 2335		BEAT OF INCIDENT 0815	LOCATION CODE* 17	
ACCUSED	NAME 1. Watson, William	RANK P/O	STAR NO. 14177	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
	2. Glover, Kevin	P/O	17615	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	008
	SEX/RACE 1. M/B	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 1943	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 17 Nov. 1986		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
	2. M/B	[REDACTED] 1959	09 Sept. 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE F/W
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 71
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE F/W
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 72

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complaint was registered with the Office of Professional Standards on 06 October 1990, at 0055 hours, by Sgt. Thomas Conroy, Star # 2131, assigned to Unit 008. The complainant [REDACTED] alleged that on 05 October 1990, at 2335 hours, in the vicinity of [REDACTED] during the course of her arrest, the accused officers committed the following acts: 1) verbally abused her and [REDACTED]; 2) pushed her and [REDACTED] 3) tripped her, dragged her by the hair, slapped her, and kneed her in the back; and 4) fired three shots.  
No Injury.  
No Medical Attention.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

179530

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
 In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments. The complainant [REDACTED] related in a statement taken by Captain Harry Hohm, that she heard one shot fired by one of the arresting officers and then changed her story and stated that she heard five shots fired. ADS Andrew Greenlee and Capt Hohm indicated in their reports that they examined both of Officers Watson's and Glover's firearms and that the guns were fully loaded and had no odor of being recently fired. The accused officers firearms were inventoried and sent to the Crime Laboratory for examination. The complainant further alleged that she and [REDACTED] were verbally and physically abused but did not sustain any injury nor seek for any medical attention.

The arrest and police reports indicate that [REDACTED] was arrested on charges of Disorderly Conduct and Resisting Arrest. The reports state that the arresting officers, W. Watson and Kevin Glover were investigating a battery when they observed [REDACTED] and four other subjects walking along the railroad tracks. The officers attempted to question the subjects as the three male subjects fled and the two females remained. [REDACTED] became combative, refused to cooperate with the officers and was subsequently arrested. Then unknown subjects in the weeds began to throw rocks at the officers while [REDACTED] was in custody. The officers could not see where the rocks came from and walked away with the offender to transport her to the station for processing.

Photographs were taken of [REDACTED] and the scene of the arrest by an Evidence Technician but reveal no visible signs of injury to the complainant. The Crime Laboratory Report, Gun Shot Residue Analysis for Officer Watson and Officer Glover's firearms were negative. The Ballistics Firearms Report indicates that Officer Watson's firearm has residue present but cannot substantiate that the gun was fired specifically on 05 Oct. 1990. The To/From Report of Lt. R. Contino states that Officers K. Glover and W. Watson had their In-Service Firearm Qualification on 27 Sept. 1990, at the Area 1 range during the 3rd Watch and

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	
	1,17-21	5-9, 30-42	2-4, 10-29,43	45

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
 Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

Accused #1	Officer William Watson
Allegations #1,2,3	Not Sustained.
Allegation # 4.	Unfounded.
Accused # 2	Officer Kevin Glover
Allegations #1,2,3	Not Sustained
Allegation # 4	Unfounded.

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor James Lopez, Star 49

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	10 Oct. 1990	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	11 Dec. 1990	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	62 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.		[REDACTED] #37			

INVESTIGATION  
CONTINUED:

both officers passed their firearm qualifications.

The complainant [REDACTED] has not cooperated with this investigation to substantiate the allegations made against the accused officers. All attempts to have the complainant cooperate in the furtherance of this investigation have met with negative results. Friends of the complainant, [REDACTED] related in their statements as witnesses that they observed the arresting officers physically abuse [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and heard the officers verbally abuse them. [REDACTED] stated that she heard the first shot from Officer Watson's gun and saw the flashes of the last two shots fired. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that they were hiding in the bushes and saw three flashes from Officer Watson's gun.

Officer William Watson and Kevin Glover denied the allegations made against them. Officers Watson and Glover corroborated in their statements that they did not fire any shots from their weapons during the course of [REDACTED] arrest. Officer Watson stated that he last fired his firearm on 27 September 1990, at the Area 1 Gun Range for In-Service Firearm Qualification and did not clean his gun afterwards. ,

Other than the scant information furnished by the complainant, very few facts are available on which to commence a proper investigation. If the complainant cooperates in the future, the case will be reopened. In view of the foregoing facts it is recommended that this matter be closed.